

# Analytical Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations

YUEN MANWAI\*

*Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,  
Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong*

Revised 20-Feb-2009

## Abstract

With the previous results for the analytical blowup solutions of the  $N$ -dimensional ( $N \geq 2$ ) Euler-Poisson equations, we extend the similar structure to construct an analytical family of solutions for the isothermal Navier-Stokes equations and pressureless Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity.

## 1 Introduction

The Navier-Stokes equations can be formulated in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) + \delta \nabla P = \text{vis}(\rho, u). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

As usual,  $\rho = \rho(x, t)$  and  $u(x, t)$  are the density, the velocity respectively.  $P = P(\rho)$  is the pressure.

We use a  $\gamma$ -law on the pressure, i.e.

$$P(\rho) = K\rho^\gamma, \quad (2)$$

---

\*E-mail address: nevetsyuen@hotmail.com

with  $K > 0$ , which is a universal hypothesis. The constant  $\gamma = c_P/c_v \geq 1$ , where  $c_P$  and  $c_v$  are the specific heats per unit mass under constant pressure and constant volume respectively, is the ratio of the specific heats.  $\gamma$  is the adiabatic exponent in (2). In particular, the fluid is called isothermal if  $\gamma = 1$ . It can be used for constructing models with non-degenerate isothermal fluid.  $\delta$  can be the constant 0 or 1. When  $\delta = 0$ , we call the system is pressureless; when  $\delta = 1$ , we call that it is with pressure. And  $vis(\rho, u)$  is the viscosity function. When  $vis(\rho, u) = 0$ , the system (1) becomes the Euler equations. For the detailed study of the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, see [1] and [4]. In the first part of this article, we study the solutions of the  $N$ -dimensional ( $N \geq 1$ ) isothermal equations in radial symmetry:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) + \nabla K\rho = vis(\rho, u). \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

**Definition 1 (Blowup)** *We say a solution blows up if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) *The solution becomes infinitely large at some point  $x$  and some finite time  $T$ ;*
- (2) *The derivative of the solution becomes infinitely large at some point  $x$  and some finite time  $T$ .*

For the formation of singularity in the 3-dimensional case for the Euler equations, please refer the paper of Sideris [10]. In this article, we extend the results from the study of the (blowup) analytical solutions in the  $N$ -dimensional ( $N \geq 2$ ) Euler-Poisson equations, which describes the evolution of the gaseous stars in astrophysics [2], [3], [7], [12] and [13], to the Navier-Stokes equations. For the similar kinds of blowup results in the non-isothermal case of the Euler or Navier-Stokes equations, please refer [5] and [12].

Recently, Yuen's results in [13], there exists a family of the blowup solution for the Euler-Poisson equations in the 2-dimensional radial symmetry case,

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) + K\rho_r = -\frac{2\pi\rho}{r} \int_0^r \rho(t, s) s ds. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The solutions are

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{1}{a(t)^2} e^{y(r/a(t))}, u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} r; \\ \ddot{a}(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{a(t)}, a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1; \\ \ddot{y}(x) + \frac{1}{x}\dot{y}(x) + \frac{2\pi}{K} e^{y(x)} = \mu, y(0) = \alpha, \dot{y}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $K > 0$ ,  $\mu = 2\lambda/K$  with a sufficiently small  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are constants.

- (1) When  $\lambda > 0$ , the solutions blow up in a finite time  $T$ ;
- (2) When  $\lambda = 0$ , if  $a_1 < 0$ , the solutions blow up at  $t = -a_0/a_1$ .

In this paper, we extend the above result to the isothermal Navier-Stokes equations in radial symmetry with the usual viscous function

$$vis(\rho, u) = v\Delta u,$$

where  $v$  is a positive constant:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) + K\rho_r = v(u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2}u), \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

**Theorem 2** *For the  $N$ -dimensional isothermal Navier-Stokes equations in radial symmetry (6), there exists a family of solutions, those are:*

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{1}{a(t)^N} e^{y(r/a(t))}, u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} r, \\ \ddot{a}(t) = \frac{-\lambda}{a(t)}, a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \\ y(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2K} x^2 + \alpha, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are arbitrary constants.

In particular, for  $\lambda > 0$ , the solutions blow up in finite time  $T$ .

In the last part, the corresponding solutions to the pressureless Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity is also studied.

## 2 The Isothermal ( $\gamma = 1$ ) Cases

Before we present the proof of Theorem 2, the Lemma 6 of [13] could be needed to further extended to the  $N$ -dimensional space.

**Lemma 3 (The Extension of Lemma 6 of [13])** *For the equation of conservation of mass in radial symmetry:*

$$\rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u = 0, \quad (8)$$

there exist solutions,

$$\rho(t, r) = \frac{f(r/a(t))}{a(t)^N}, \quad u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} r, \quad (9)$$

with the form  $f \geq 0 \in C^1$  and  $a(t) > 0 \in C^1$ .

**Proof.** We just plug (9) into (8). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r} \rho u \\ &= \frac{-N\dot{a}(t)f(r/a(t))}{a(t)^{N+1}} - \frac{\dot{a}(t)r\dot{f}(r/a(t))}{a(t)^{N+2}} \\ &+ \frac{\dot{a}(t)r}{a(t)} \frac{\dot{f}(r/a(t))}{a(t)^{N+1}} + \frac{f(r/a(t))}{a(t)^N} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{f(r/a(t))}{a(t)^N} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} r \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ■

Besides, the Lemma 7 of [13] is also useful. For the better understanding of the lemma, the proof is given here.

**Lemma 4 (lemma 7 of [13])** *For the Emden equation,*

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{a}(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{a(t)}, \\ a(0) = a_0 > 0, \quad \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

we have, if  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a finite time  $T_- < +\infty$  such that  $a(T_-) = 0$ .

**Proof.** By integrating (10), we have

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \dot{a}(t)^2 = -\lambda \ln a(t) + \theta \quad (11)$$

where  $\theta = \lambda \ln a_0 + \frac{1}{2} a_1^2$ .

From (11), we get,

$$a(t) \leq e^{\theta/\lambda}.$$

If the statement is not true, we have

$$0 < a(t) \leq e^{\theta/\lambda}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

But since

$$\ddot{a}(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{a(t)} \leq \frac{-\lambda}{e^{\theta/\lambda}},$$

we integrate this twice to deduce

$$a(t) \leq \int_0^t \int_0^\tau \frac{-\lambda}{e^{\theta/\lambda}} ds d\tau + C_1 t + C_0 = \frac{-\lambda t^2}{2e^{\theta/\lambda}} + C_1 t + C_0.$$

By taking  $t$  large enough, we get

$$a(t) < 0.$$

As a contradiction is met, the statement of the Lemma is true. ■

By extending the structure of the solutions (5) to the 2-dimensional isothermal Euler-Poisson equations (4) in [13], it is a natural result to get the proof of the Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** By using the Lemma 3, we can get that (7) satisfy (6)<sub>1</sub>. For the momentum equation, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(u_t + u \cdot u_r) + K\rho_r - v(u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2}u) \\ &= \rho \frac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} r + \frac{K}{a(t)} \rho \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \\ &= \frac{\rho}{a(t)} [-\frac{\lambda r}{a(t)} + K \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)})]. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing

$$y(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2K} x^2 + \alpha,$$

we have verified that (7) satisfies the above (6)<sub>2</sub>. If  $\lambda > 0$ , by the Lemma 4, there exists a finite time  $T$  for such that  $a(T_-) = 0$ . Thus, there exist blowup solutions in finite time  $T$ . The proof is completed. ■

With the assistance of the blowup rate results of the Euler-Poisson equations i.e. Theorem 3 in [13], it is trivial to have the following theorem:

**Theorem 5** *With  $\lambda > 0$ , the blowup rate of the solutions (7) is,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_*} \rho(t, 0)(T_* - t)^\alpha \geq O(1),$$

where the blowup time  $T_*$  and  $\alpha < N$  are constants.

**Remark 6** *If we are interested in the mass of the solutions, the mass of the solutions can be calculated by:*

$$M(t) = \int_{R^N} \rho(t, s) ds = \alpha(N) \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(t, s) s^{N-1} ds,$$

where  $\alpha(N)$  denotes some constant related to the unit ball in  $R^N$ :  $\alpha(1) = 1$ ;  $\alpha(2) = 2\pi$ ; for  $N \geq 3$ ,

$$\alpha(N) = N(N-2)V(N) = N(N-2) \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\Gamma(N/2+1)},$$

where  $V(N)$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $R^N$  and  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function. We observe that the mass of the initial time 0:

(1) for  $\lambda \geq 0$

$$M(0) = \frac{\alpha(N)}{a_0^N} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2K}s^2 + \alpha} s^{N-1} ds.$$

The mass is infinitive. The very large density comes from the ends of outside of the origin  $O$ .

(2) for  $\lambda < 0$ ,

$$M(0) = \frac{\alpha(N)}{a_0^N} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2K}s^2 + \alpha} s^{N-1} ds = \frac{\alpha(N)e^\alpha}{a_0^N} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2K}s^2} s^{N-1} ds.$$

The mass of the solution can be arbitrarily small but without compact support if  $\alpha$  is taken to be a very small negative number.

**Remark 7** *Our results can be easily extended to the isothermal Euler/ Navier-Stokes equations with frictional damping term with the assistance of Lemma 7 in [12]:*

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + u \cdot u_r) + K\rho_r + \beta\rho u = v(u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2}u), \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $v \geq 0$ .

The solutions are:

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{e^{y(r/a(t))}}{a(t)^N}, u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}r, \\ \ddot{a}(t) + \beta\dot{a}(t) = \frac{-\lambda}{a(t)}, a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \\ y(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2K}x^2 + \alpha. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 8** *Our results can be easily extended to the isothermal Euler/ Navier-Stokes equations*

with frictional damping term with the assistance of Lemma 7 in [12]:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + u \cdot u_r) + K\rho_r + \beta\rho u = v(u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2}u) \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $v \geq 0$ .

The solutions are:

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{e^{y(r/a(t))}}{a(t)^N}, u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}r, \\ \ddot{a}(t) + \beta\dot{a}(t) = \frac{-\lambda}{a(t)}, a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \\ y(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2K}x^2 + \alpha. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 9** The solutions (5) to the Euler-Poisson equations only work for the 2-dimensional case.

But the solutions (7) to the Navier-Stokes equations work for the  $N$ -dimensional ( $N \geq 1$ ) case.

**Remark 10** We may extend the solutions to the 2-dimensional Euler/Navier-Stokes equations with a solid core [6]:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) + K\rho_r + \beta\rho u = \frac{M_0}{r} + v(u_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}u_r - \frac{1}{r^2}u), \end{cases}$$

where  $M_0 > 0$ , there is a unit stationary solid core locating  $[0, r_0]$ , where  $r_0$  is a positive constant, surrounded by the distribution density.

The corresponding solutions are:

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{e^{y(r/a(t))}}{a(t)^2}, u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}r, \text{ for } r > r_0, \\ \ddot{a}(t) + \beta\dot{a}(t) = \frac{-\lambda}{a(t)}, a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \\ y(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2K}x^2 + M_0 \ln x + \alpha, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > \frac{-\lambda}{2K}$  is a constant.

### 3 Pressureless Navier-Stokes Equations with Density-dependent Viscosity

Now we consider the pressureless Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity:

$$vis(\rho, u) \doteq \nabla(\mu(\rho) \nabla \cdot u),$$

in radial symmetry:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) = (\mu(\rho))_r(\frac{N-1}{r}u + u_r) + \mu(\rho)(u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2}u), \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where  $\mu(\rho)$  is a density-dependent viscosity function, which is usually written as  $\mu(\rho) \doteq \kappa\rho^\theta$  with the constants  $\kappa, \theta > 0$ . For the study of this kind of the above system, the readers may refer [8][9][11].

We can obtain the similar estimate about Lemma 4 to the following ODE,

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{a}(t) = \frac{\lambda\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^2}, \\ a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1 \leq \frac{\lambda}{a_0}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

**Lemma 11** *For the ODE (13), with  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a finite time  $T_- < +\infty$  such that  $a(T_-) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** (1) If  $a(t) > 0$  and  $\dot{a}(0) = a_1 \leq \frac{\lambda}{a_0}$  for all time  $t$ , by integrating (13), we have

$$\dot{a}(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{a(t)} - \frac{\lambda}{a_0} + a_1 \leq -\frac{\lambda}{a(t)}. \quad (14)$$

Take the integration for (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t a(s)\dot{a}(s)ds &\leq -\int_0^t \lambda ds, \\ \frac{1}{2}[a(t)]^2 &\leq -\lambda t + \frac{1}{2}a_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

When  $t$  is very large, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}[a(t)]^2 \leq -1.$$

A contradiction is met. The proof is completed. ■

Here we present another lemma before proceeding to the next theorem.

**Lemma 12** *For the ODE*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(x)y(x)^n - \xi x = 0, \\ y(0) = \alpha > 0, n \neq -1, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where  $\xi$  and  $n$  are constants,

we have the solution

$$y(x) = \sqrt[n+1]{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\xi x^2 + \alpha^{n+1}}.$$



**Proof.** The above ODE (15) may be solved by the separation method:

$$\dot{y}(x)y(x)^n - \xi x = 0,$$

$$\dot{y}(x)y(x)^n = \xi x.$$

By taking the integration with respect to  $x$  :

$$\int_0^x \dot{y}(x)y(x)^n dx = \int_0^x \xi x dx,$$

we have,

$$\int_0^x y(x)^n d[y(x)] = \frac{1}{2}\xi x^2 + C_1, \quad (16)$$

where  $C_1$  is a constant.

By integration by part, then the identity becomes

$$y(x)^{n+1} - n \int_0^x y(x)^{n-1} \dot{y}(x)y(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}\xi x^2 + C_1,$$

$$y(x)^{n+1} - n \int_0^x \dot{y}(x)y(x)^n dx = \frac{1}{2}\xi x^2 + C_1.$$

From the equation (16), we can have the simple expression for  $y(x)$ :

$$y(x)^{n+1} - n\left(\frac{1}{2}\xi x^2 + C_1\right) = \frac{1}{2}\xi x^2 + C_1,$$

$$y(x)^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)\xi x^2 + C_2,$$

where  $C_2 = (n+1)C_1$ .

By plugging into the initial condition for  $y(0)$ , we have

$$y(0)^{n+1} = \alpha^{n+1} = C_2.$$

Thus, the solution is:

$$y(x) = \sqrt[n+1]{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\xi x^2 + \alpha^{n+1}}.$$

The proof is completed. ■

The family of the solution to the pressureless Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) = (\kappa\rho^\theta)_r\left(\frac{N-1}{r}u + u_r\right) + \kappa\rho^\theta\left(u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2}u\right), \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

is presented as the followings:

**Theorem 13** *For the pressureless Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity (17) in radial symmetry, there exists a family of solutions,*

for  $\theta = 1$ :

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{e^{y(r/a(t))}}{a(t)^N}, u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}r, \\ \ddot{a}(t) = \frac{\lambda \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^2}, a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \\ y(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2N\kappa}x^2 + \alpha, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are arbitrary constants.

In particular, for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $a_1 \leq \frac{\lambda}{a_0}$ , the solutions blow up in finite time;

for  $\theta \neq 1$ :

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{y(r/a(t))}}{a(t)^N}, & \text{for } y(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{for } y(\frac{r}{a(t)}) < 0 \end{cases}, \quad u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}r, \\ \ddot{a}(t) = \frac{-\lambda \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^{N\theta - N + 2}}, \quad a(0) = a_0 > 0, \quad \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \\ y(x) = \theta^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\theta - 1) \frac{-\lambda}{N\kappa\theta} x^2} + \alpha^{\theta-1}, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 13.** To (17)<sub>1</sub>, we may use Lemma 3 to check it.

For  $\theta = 1$ , (17)<sub>2</sub>, becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(u_t + u \cdot u_r) - (\kappa\rho)_r \left( \frac{N-1}{r} u + u_r \right) - \kappa\rho_r (u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r} u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2} u) \\ &= \rho \frac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} r - N \left( \frac{\kappa e^{y(r/a(t))}}{a(t)^N} \right)_r \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} \\ &= \rho \left( \frac{\lambda \dot{a}(t) r}{a(t)^3} \right) - \frac{N \kappa e^{y(r/a(t))} \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)})}{a(t)^{N+1}} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} \\ &= \frac{\rho \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^2} \left( \frac{\lambda r}{a(t)} - N \kappa \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where we use

$$\ddot{a}(t) = \frac{\lambda \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^2}.$$

By choosing

$$y\left(\frac{r}{a(t)}\right) \doteq y(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2N\kappa}x^2 + \alpha,$$

(19) is equal to zero.

For the case of  $\theta \neq 1$ ,  $(17)_2$  can be calculated:

$$\rho(u_t + u \cdot u_r) - (\kappa \rho^\theta)_r \left( \frac{N-1}{r} u + u_r \right) - \kappa \rho^\theta (u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r} u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2} u) \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \rho \left( -\frac{\lambda \dot{a}(t) r}{a(t)^{N\theta-N+2} a(t)} \right) - \frac{N\kappa\theta y(\frac{r}{a(t)})^{\theta-1} \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^{N(\theta-1)} a(t)^{N+1} a(t)} \\ &= \rho \left( -\frac{\lambda \dot{a}(t) r}{a(t)^{N\theta-N+2} a(t)} \right) - \frac{N\kappa\theta y(\frac{r}{a(t)}) y(\frac{r}{a(t)})^{\theta-2} \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^N a(t)^{N\theta-N+2}} \\ &= \rho \left( -\frac{\lambda \dot{a}(t) r}{a(t)^{N\theta-N+2} a(t)} \right) - \frac{N\kappa\theta \rho y(\frac{r}{a(t)})^{\theta-2} \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^{N\theta-N+2}} \\ &= \frac{-\rho \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^{N\theta-N+2}} \left( -\frac{\lambda r}{a(t)} + N\kappa\theta y(\frac{r}{a(t)})^{\theta-2} \dot{y}(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Define  $x \doteq \frac{r}{a(t)}$ ,  $n \doteq \theta - 2$ , it follows:

$$= \frac{-\rho \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^{N\theta-N+2}} \left( \lambda x + N\kappa\theta y(x)^n \dot{y}(x) \right) \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{-\lambda \rho \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^{N\theta-N+2}} \left( x + \frac{N\kappa\theta}{\lambda} y(x)^n \dot{y}(x) \right), \quad (23)$$

and  $\xi \doteq \frac{\lambda}{N\kappa\theta}$  in Lemma 12, and choose

$$y(\frac{r}{a(t)}) \doteq y(x) = {}^{\theta-1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\theta-1) \frac{-\lambda}{N\kappa\theta} x^2 + \alpha^{\theta-1}}.$$

And this is easy to check that

$$\dot{y}(0) = 0.$$

The equation (22) is equal to zero. The proof is completed. ■

**Remark 14** *By controlling the initial conditions in some solutions (18), we may get the blowup solutions. And the modified solutions can be extended to the system in radial symmetry with frictional damping:*

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r} \rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) + \beta \rho u = (\mu(\rho))_r \left( \frac{N-1}{r} u + u_r \right) + \mu(\rho) \left( u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r} u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2} u \right), \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta > 0$ ,

with the assistance of the ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{a}(t) + \beta \dot{a}(t) = \frac{-\lambda \dot{a}(t)}{a(t)^S}, \\ a(0) = a_0 > 0, \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $S$  is a constant.

## References

- [1] G.Q. Chen and D.H. Wang, *The Cauchy Problem for the Euler Equations for Compressible Fluids*, Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, Vol. I, 421-543, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [2] Y.B. Deng, J.L. Xiang and T. Yang, *Blowup Phenomena of Solutions to Euler-Poisson Equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **286** (1)(2003), 295-306.
- [3] P.Goldreich, S. Weber, *Homologously Collapsing Stellar Cores*, Astrophys. J. **238**, 991-997 (1980).
- [4] P.L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, Vols. 1, 2, 1998, Oxford:Clarendon Press, 1998.
- [5] T.H. Li, *Some Special Solutions of the Multidimensional Euler Equations in  $R^N$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. **4** (4)(2005), 757-762.
- [6] S. S. Lin, *Stability of Gaseous Stars in Radially Symmetric Motions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **28** (1997), No. 3, 539–569.
- [7] T. Makino, *Blowing up Solutions of the Euler-Poisson Equation for the Evolution of the Gaseous Stars*, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics **21** (1992), 615-624.
- [8] T. Makino, *On a local existence theorem for the evolution equations of gaseous stars*, “Patterns and wave-qualitative analysis of nonlinear differential equations”, Ed. T. Nishida, M. Mimura and H. Fujii, North-Holland, 1986, 459–479.
- [9] T. Nishida, *Equations of fluid dynamics-free surface problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., XXXIX (1986), 221–238.
- [10] T.C. Sideris, *Formation of Singularities in Three-dimensional Compressible Fluids*, Comm. Math. Phys. **101** (1985), No. 4, 475-485.

- [11] T. Yang, Z.A. Yao, C. J. Zhu, *Compressible Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity and vacuum*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001), no. 5-6, 965–981.
- [12] M.W. Yuen, *Blowup Solutions for a Class of Fluid Dynamical Equations in  $R^N$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2)(2007), 1064-1079.
- [13] M.W. Yuen, *Analytical Blowup Solutions to the 2-dimensional Isothermal Euler-Poisson Equations of Gaseous Stars*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (1)(2008), 445-456.